

Application No.: 10/630296
Docket No.: EL0475USCIP

Page 6

REMARKS

Claims 1-27 are in the application as filed. Claims 1-13 and 23-26 are presently in the application. Claims 14-22 and 27 were withdrawn from consideration, as directed to patently distinct inventions.

REJECTION UNDER 35 USC 112

Claims 1-13 and 23-26 have been rejected as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The Examiner argues that we cannot support the range of D50 being 36.4-63.5 in Claim 1, although each of these is found in one example. Applicants respectfully assert that the range that they include is accurate to indicate that there is a range of D50 particle size, however, to advance prosecution Applicant has removed the above statement from the claims.


The examiner also objected to the viscosity in Claim 25 being 15-30 PaS as he found no 15 PaS in the application. Applicant notes that the 15 was a typographical error. The range should be 5-30. Support for this change is in the specification at page 7 and 10.

Concerning the past objections, based upon applicants' arguments, the Examiner has recognized that the present invention differs from the art.

It is respectfully requested that Claims 1-13 and 23-26 be allowed.

Should anything further be required to advance allowance of this application, the Examiner is urged to contact applicants' attorney at the telephone number below. Should there be any fee required in connection with the filing of this amendment, please charge such fee to Deposit Account No. 04-1928 (E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company).

Respectfully submitted,



BARBARA C. SIEGELL
ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT
Registration No.: 30,684
Telephone: (302) 992-4931
Facsimile: (302) 992-5374

Dated: 1-29-07